



24 February 2025

Updated Scoping Study Highlights Billion-Dollar Potential— Positioning ARR as a Future Rare Earth Leader in the USA

Cautionary Statements

ARR has published the Study in its entirety on the Halleck Creek project tab at americanree.com

The Study referred to in this announcement is a preliminary technical and economic study of the potential viability of the Halleck Creek Rare Earths project by developing a mine and constructing a beneficiation facility onsite and refinery facility offsite. The Study referred to in this announcement is based on lower-level technical and preliminary economic assessments and is insufficient to support estimation of Ore Reserves or to provide assurance of an economic development case at this stage, or certainty that the conclusions of the Study will be realized.

100% of the Phase I initial production (20-year cash flow model) is in the Measured + Indicated Mineral Resource category and 0% is in the Inferred Mineral Resource Category. The inferred Mineral Resource is not the determining factor in determining the viability of the Halleck Creek Rare Earths project.

There is currently a low level of geological confidence associated with inferred Mineral Resources and there is no certainty that further exploration work will result in the determination of other Measured or Indicated Mineral Resources or that the Production Target or preliminary economic assessment will be realized.

The Study is based on the material assumptions highlighted throughout this announcement. While the Company considers all the material assumptions to be based on reasonable grounds, there is no certainty that they will prove to be correct or that the range of outcomes indicated by the Study will be achieved.

These include assumptions about the availability of funding. To achieve the potential project development outcomes indicated in the Study, funding in the order of US\$380 million + \$76 million of contingency is needed (ARR presently has U.S. market capitalization of approximately US\$100 million). Investors should note that there is no certainty that the Company will be able to raise funding when needed, however the Company has concluded it has a reasonable basis for providing the forward-looking statements included in this announcement and believes that it will be able to fund the development of the project. This is based on an accepted ratio of initial capital expenditure to market capitalization of <u>4.6:1</u> which includes 20% contingency.

It is also possible that such funding may only be available on terms that may be dilutive to or otherwise affect the value of the Company's existing shares. It is also possible that the Company could pursue other strategies to provide alternative funding options. Given the uncertainties involved, investors should not make any investment decisions based solely on the results of the Study.

The Study is an update of the initial Halleck Creek Scoping Study Technical Report released in March 2024¹. Material changes in this report include updates to the geological data, geological models, grade models, and the mineral resource estimate. Pit shells and mine design were updated based on the revised geological data. The economic analysis for the scoping study was updated based on the updated mineral resource estimate and updated mine designs. All other parameters have not changed, this includes Capital and Operating Costs within the 2024 Scoping Study which were based on 2023 data. This scoping study is a preliminary assessment based on a low accuracy technical and economic assessments (Class 5 AACE +/- 30-50% and includes a contingency factor of 20%).

1. ASX Announcement 18 March 2024

Australian Office Suite 706, Level 7 89 York Street, Sydney 2000 GPO Box 1546 Sydney NSW 2001 Australia US Office 1658 Cole Boulevard, Suite G30 Lakewood, Colorado 80401

ABN 83 003 453 503



Updated Scoping Study Highlights Billion-Dollar Potential Positioning ARR as a Future Rare Earth Leader in the USA

- Strong economics, scalable growth: 3 Mtpa base case offers NPV10% of US\$558M, IRR 24%, with a low-risk CAPEX of US\$456M.
- Billion-dollar potential: 6 Mtpa case delivers NPV10% of US\$1.17B, IRR 28.4%, and CAPEX of US\$737M.
- **First-mover advantage:** State land tenure accelerates permitting, positioning ARR as a leading U.S.based rare earths developer independent of tariffs and reliance on foreign processing.
- Vast Scalability & Growth: The 3 Mtpa Phase 1 will mine ~62.3Mt of ore over 20 years, utilising just ~2.4% of the 2.63Bt JORC resource². With further studies underway, Halleck Creek could support a larger, long-term operation, with potential for extended mine life and increased production capacity.
- **Deposit remains open at depth and along strike,** with the current JORC resource of 2.63Bt covering only ~16% of the greater Halleck Creek surface area, highlighting significant expansion potential.

American Rare Earths (ASX: ARR | OTCQX: ARRNF and AMRRY) ("ARR" or the "Company") is pleased to announce the results of its Updated Halleck Creek Scoping Study, confirming the project's strong economics, scalability, and strategic importance.

Compiled by independent engineering firm Stantec Consulting Services Inc., the Study highlights Halleck Creek's strong economic potential, strategic advantages, and clear pathway to development as a U.S.-based rare earths project. Located in Wyoming, a Tier 1 mining jurisdiction, Halleck Creek benefits from state land tenure, allowing for accelerated permitting and development.

COMPELLING ECONOMICS & SCALABLE GROWTH

The Updated Scoping Study confirms Halleck Creek as a world-class rare earths project with robust financials and long-term scalability:

- 3 Mtpa Base Case:
 - NPV10% of US\$558 million, IRR of 24%
 - CAPEX of US\$456 million, with a 2.7-year payback period
 - Annual production: ~4,169 metric tons of TREO, including 1,833 metric tons of NdPr oxide
- 6 Mtpa Case:
 - NPV10% of US\$1.171 billion, IRR of 28.4%
 - CAPEX of US\$737 million, with a 1.8-year payback period
 - Annual production: ~7,661 metric tons of TREO, including 3,344 metric tons of NdPr oxide

FIRST-MOVER ADVANTAGE & U.S. SUPPLY CHAIN SECURITY

As the only large-scale rare earths project in the U.S. with a clear path to production, ARR is positioned to secure a domestic, tariff-free supply of critical minerals for U.S. and allied markets.

- **China controls over 90% of global rare earth refining.** With the U.S. prioritizing supply chain security, ARR is uniquely positioned as a credible U.S.-based developer to deliver a fully integrated solution—from mining to refining.
- 2. ASX Announcement 4 February 2025 and refer to Table 1 on page 6 below.

- State land tenure accelerates permitting, avoiding the lengthy delays often associated with projects on federal land.
- Halleck Creek's 100% U.S.-based production and refining will ensure a secure, domestic supply of rare earth oxide metals—eliminating reliance on foreign supply chains and reinforcing the 'Made in America' commitment.
- **Deposit remains open at depth and along strike,** with the current JORC resource of 2.63Bt covering only ~16% of the greater Halleck Creek project area, highlighting significant expansion potential.

CLEAR DEVELOPMENT PATHWAY & FUTURE GROWTH

Halleck Creek's staged development approach ensures financial and operational flexibility, allowing ARR to scale production in alignment with market demand:

- Base Case: 3 Mtpa Low-risk entry to production to produce an average of 4,169 mt of TREO per annum, including 1,833 mt of NdPr Oxide.
- Alternate Case: Scalable to 6 Mtpa Enhancing project economics, producing an average of 7,661 mt TREO per annum, including 3,334 mt of NdPr Oxide
- Future Expansion Potential: The Cowboy State Mine ("CSM") represents only Phase 1 of Halleck Creek's development, benefiting from a strategic permitting advantage. The 20-year CSM LOM plan includes mining approximately 62.3 Mt of ore—just ~2.4% of the total 2,627 Mt JORC Mineral Resource—highlighting the vast potential for extended mine life and increased production in future phases. Given the increasing demand for rare earths, ARR is evaluating further studies, as Halleck Creek could support a much larger, long-term operation, with potential for extended mine life and increased production capacity that could position ARR among the top rare earth producers outside China.

CEO COMMENTARY

Chris Gibbs, CEO of American Rare Earths, commented:

"The Updated Scoping Study reinforces Halleck Creek strong economic potential, strategic permitting advantage and clear pathway to development. With a large-scale resource and favourable economics, we are uniquely positioned to help secure America's rare earth supply and reduce dependence on foreign sources.

"The 6 Mtpa case highlights Halleck Creek's billion-dollar potential, delivering an NPV10% of US\$1.17B and an IRR of 28%, showcasing the project's scalability. The 3 Mtpa base case offers a low-risk entry point, producing 1,833 metric tonnes of NdPr oxide annually, with an NPV10% of US\$558M, an IRR of 24%, and a 2.7-year payback period.

"With a scalable development pathway under evaluation, Halleck Creek has the potential to become a major supplier to U.S. and allied markets. Future production scenarios could position ARR among the top rare earth producers outside China, reinforcing America's supply chain security for decades to come.

"And we're not just mining—we are developing a fully integrated U.S. supply chain, refining and producing highpurity rare earth oxides for American manufacturers. Halleck Creek aligns with the growing push for Made-in-America critical minerals, securing a domestic supply for defense, aerospace, and high-tech manufacturing."

NEXT STEPS & MILESTONES

Building on strong execution in 2024, ARR is advancing key milestones to further de-risk and develop Halleck Creek, as outlined in the Updated Scoping Study and supported by recent metallurgy results. These developments reinforce the project's scalability and strategic importance as a leading U.S. rare earths asset. With a staged development approach, first production could be as early as 2029, subject to ongoing technical and economic assessments. The Company is looking at ways to fast-track development, including plans to commence Phase One of a pilot plant for the beneficiation process. The roadmap ahead highlights key next steps for 2025 and the next major stage gate in the project's development.



Attached as an Appendix is Technical Summary for the Updated Scoping Study. The study was completed with the expertise of experienced and reputable independent engineering consulting firms: Stantec, Tetra Tech and Odessa Resources.

This release was authorised by the board of directors of ARR.

For further information Susie Lawson slawson@americanree.com

Competent Person(s) Statement:

This work was reviewed and approved for release by Mr Kelton Smith (Society of Mining Engineers #4227309RM) who is employed by Tetra Tech and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the processing, separation, metallurgical testing and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 JORC Code. Mr. Smith is an experienced technical manager with a degree in Chemical engineering, operations management and engineering management. He has held several senior engineering management roles at rare earth companies (Molycorp and NioCorp) as well as ample rare earth experience as a industry consultant. Mr. Smith consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based upon the information in the form and context in which it appears.

This work was reviewed and approved for release by Mr Patrick A Sobecke (Society of Mining Metallurgy and Exploration #04133849) who is employed by Stantec and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the mining plan and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 JORC Code. Patrick is a Professional Engineer (IL 062.064122) with over 21 years of experience in multiple commodities, mining methods and countries. Mr. Sobecke consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based upon the information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this document is based on information compiled by personnel under the direction of Mr. Dwight Kinnes who is Chief Technical Officer of American Rare Earths. This geological work was reviewed and approved for release by Mr. Kinnes (Society of Mining Engineers #4063295RM) who is employed by American Rare Earths and has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 JORC Code. Mr Kinnes consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based upon the information in the form and context in which it appears.

ARR confirms it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement, and, in the case of estimates of Mineral Resources, that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcements continue to apply and have not materially changed. ARR confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

About American Rare Earths Limited:

American Rare Earths (ASX: ARR | OTCQX: ARRNF | ADR: AMRRY) is a critical minerals company at the forefront of reshaping the U.S. rare earths industry. Through its wholly owned subsidiary, Wyoming Rare (USA) Inc., the company is advancing the Halleck Creek Project in Wyoming—a world-class rare earth deposit with the potential to secure America's critical mineral independence for generations. The Halleck Creek Project boasts a JORC-compliant resource of 2.63 billion tonnes, representing approximately 16% of the greater Halleck Creek project surface area, making it one of the largest rare earth deposits in the United States. Located on Wyoming State land, the Cowboy State Mine within Halleck Creek offers cost-efficient open-pit mining methods and benefits from streamlined permitting processes in this mining-friendly state.

With plans for onsite mineral processing and separation facilities, Halleck Creek is strategically positioned to reduce U.S. reliance on imports—predominantly from China—while meeting the growing demand for rare earth elements essential to defense, advanced technologies, and economic security. As exploration progresses, the project's untapped potential on both State and Federal lands further reinforces its significance as a cornerstone of U.S. supply chain security. In addition to its resource potential, American Rare Earths is committed to environmentally responsible mining practices and continues to collaborate with U.S. Government-supported R&D programs to develop innovative extraction and processing technologies for rare earth elements.

The opportunities ahead for Halleck Creek are transformational, positioning it as a multi-generational resource that aligns with U.S. national priorities for critical mineral independence.

Tonnoro		Grade			Contained Material				
Classification	Tonnage	TREO	LREO	HREO	MREO	TREO	LREO	HREO	MREO
	t	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	t	t	t	t
Measured	206,716,068	3,720	3,352	370	904	769,018	692,935	76,550	186,836
Indicated	1,272,604,372	3,271	2,900	360	852	4,162,386	3,689,999	458,140	1,084,256
Meas + Ind	1,479,320,439	3,334	2,963	361	859	4,931,405	4,382,934	534,691	1,271,092
Inferred	1,147,180,795	3,239	2,878	361	837	3,715,661	3,302,005	413,651	960,355
Total	2,626,501,234	3,292	2,926	361	850	8,647,066	7,684,939	948,341	2,231,447

APPENDIX A

Updated Scoping Study Technical Summary

The Study is an update of the initial Halleck Creek Scoping Study Technical Report released in March 2024². Material changes in this report include updates to the geological data, geological models, grade models, and the mineral resource estimate³. Pit shells and mine design were updated based on the revised geological data. The economic analysis for the scoping study was updated based on the updated mineral resource estimate and updated mine designs. All other parameters were not changed.

- The updated mine plan average in-situ grade increased by ~13% to 4,249 ppm TREO versus the March 2024 Study, this increased Rare Earth Oxide ("REO") production by ~12% over the 20-year life of mine ("LOM") without changing the annual processing rate. The higher REO output resulted in a significant uplift in the projects economics, increasing the after-tax NPV_{10%} by ~30% to US\$558M (~A\$889M) and the internal rate of return by ~14% to 24%.
- The 20 year mine plan at the Cowboy State Mine ("**CSM**") is located on 100% State of Wyoming Land and Minerals, which is a strategic advantage for the project, given Wyoming has a streamlined permitting process when compared to development projects on Federal Land. Baseline environmental data acquisition for the State permit to mine submission have already commenced, and the Company believes the CSM has the potential to receive a permit to mine in 1-3 years (vs. +10 years on a Federal permitting track).
- The CSM is only considered Phase 1 of the Halleck Creek deposit's development, given it strategic permitting advantage. The total ore mined over the 20-year CSM LOM is ~62.3 million tonne (Mt) which represents only a fraction of the current ~2,627Mt JORC resource, which points to significant upside, both in terms of mine life and annual production, at Halleck Creek.
- The Study is based on an initial phase of 3.0Mt per annum (Mtpa) of mining to create a low capital cost for market entry and financing. A 6 Mtpa economic case was also prepared to illustrate future potential.
- LOM average cash cost (USD/kg NdPr Equivalent) = ~US\$36/kg, a ~5% decline versus March 2024 scoping study. The decline in the cash costs is due to the increase of the in-situ grade, which resulted in greater REO production per tonne mined, diluting both operating and fixed costs on a per kg basis.

CSM 3Mpta Base Case Shown	Feb. 2025 Scoping Study	Mar. 2024 Scoping Study	Change
Operations			
Life Of Mine (Years)	20	20	-%
Ore Tonnes Mined (Mt)	62.25	62.35	0%
Strip Ratio (Ore:Waste Mined)	0.38	0.03	1167%
LOM Avg. Grade (ppm TREO)	4,249	3,746	13%
Total LOM NdPr Eq. Recovered (Mkg)	58	52	12%
LOM C1 Cost (USD/kg NdPr Eq)	36	38	-5%
Project Economics*			
Total CAPEX (US\$M)	456	456	-%
NPV 10% After-Tax (US\$M)	558	430	30%
IRR (%)	24%	21%	14%
Payback Period (Years)	2.7	3.1	-13%

Key Changes in Updated Scoping Study

*Assumed REO prices remain unchanged in the updated Feb. 2025 Scoping Study.

² ASX Announcement 18 March 2024

³ ASX Announcement 4 February 2025

Project Metrics and Economic Summary

The study is a preliminary assessment based on Class 5 Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering (AACE) compliant cost development +/- 30-50% and includes a contingency factor of 20%.

Project	Unit	Value	Capital Expenditures	Unit	Value
CSM Mine Plan	yr	20	Initial Mine Capital	USD	5,423,976
Processing Run-of-Mine (ROM)	Mtpa	3.0	Initial Processing Capital	USD	374,644,403
Total Production	Mt	85,840,139	Contingency (20%)	USD	76,013,676
Construction Period	yr	2.5	Total Initial Capital	USD	456,082,054
Operating Costs	Unit	Value	Pricing	Unit	Value
NdPr Oxide	USD\$/kg	36.10	NdPr Oxide	USD\$/kg	91.00
Tb Oxide	USD\$/kg	595.09	Tb Oxide	USD\$/kg	1,500.00
Dy Oxide	USD\$/kg	158.69	Dy Oxide	USD\$/kg	400.00
SEG Concentrate	USD\$/kg	3.97	SEG Concentrate	USD\$/kg	10.00
La	USD\$/kg	0.79	La	USD\$/kg	2.00
Total	USD\$/kg	23.89	Total		60.85
Before Tax Financials	Unit	Value	Recovery	Unit	Value
Free Cash Flow	USD	2,501,550,792	NdPr	%	63.9%
NPV	at 8%	855,620,187	Tb	%	70.2%
NPV	at 10%	659,528,176	Dy	%	66.5%
IRR (%)	%	25.8	SEG	%	70.1%
Payback Period	yr	2.5	La	%	68.6%
After Tax Financial	Unit	Value	Annual Avg. Production	Unit	Value
Free Cash Flow	USD	2,193,661,024	NdPr Oxide	mt	1,833
Federal and State Taxes Paid	USD	(307,889,767)	Tb Oxide	mt	24
NPV	at 8%	732,923,202	Dy Oxide	mt	98
NPV	at 10%	558,010,632	SEG Concentrate	mt	488
IRR (%)	%	24	La Carbonate	mt	1,724
Payback Period	yr	2.7	Total	mt	4,169





Sensitivities of Cowboy State Mine 3 Mtpa Base Case

At currently depressed spot prices for NdPr, the project still provides a +14% IRR and a positive NPV of US\$150M, highlighting the potential of the project as a low-cost producer.



CSM 6Mpta Scenario Comparison

Stantec completed a high-level comparison of a 6.0 Mtpa alternative production rate and compared to the Base Case of 3.0 Mtpa to investigate the upside of the property in the case that a higher demand for rare earths is realized. A mine life of 20 years was kept constant and supported by a design targeting the best grade within the required tonnage within the Cowboy State Mine. Operating and capital costs were factored for the higher production rate. The 6.0 Mtpa scenario has a superior NPV at all discount rates. For the 6Mtpa scenario a 28% IRR was achieved with an after-tax NPV_{10%} of US\$1,171M.

LOM Mining Stats	3.0 Mtpa Base Case	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case
Total Ore Mined (Mt)	62.3	120.5
Total Waste Mined (Mt)	23.6	46.7
Total Material Mined (Mt)	85.8	167.3
Strip Ratio	0.38	0.39
Recovered Rare Earths	3.0 Mtpa Base Case	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case
La (Mkg)	36.2	67.2
NdPr (Mkg)	38.5	70.2
SEG (Mkg)	10.3	18.7
Tb (Mkg)	0.5	0.9
Dy (Mkg)	2.1	3.8
NdPr_Eq (Mkg)	87.5	160.9
NdPr_Eq (g/t)	931	931
LOM Cash Flow	3.0 Mtpa Base Case	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7 450	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10 727
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M) After Tax Metrics	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7 450 3.0 Mtpa Base Case	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10 727 6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M) After Tax Metrics Free Cash Flow (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7 450 3.0 Mtpa Base Case 2,194	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10 727 6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 4,208
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M) After Tax Metrics Free Cash Flow (US\$M) Federal & State Taxes Paid (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7 450 3.0 Mtpa Base Case 2,194 308	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10 727 6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 4,208 606
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M) After Tax Metrics Free Cash Flow (US\$M) Federal & State Taxes Paid (US\$M) NPV @ 8% (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7 450 3.0 Mtpa Base Case 2,194 308 733	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10 727 6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 4,208 606 1,497
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M) After Tax Metrics Free Cash Flow (US\$M) Federal & State Taxes Paid (US\$M) NPV @ 8% (US\$M) NPV @ 10% (US\$M)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7 450 3.0 Mtpa Base Case 2,194 308 733 558	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10 727 6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 4,208 606 1,497 1,171
LOM Cash Flow Total Revenue (US\$M) OPEX Mining (US\$M) OPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Mining (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M) CAPEX Milling (US\$M) Free Cash Flow (US\$M) Federal & State Taxes Paid (US\$M) NPV @ 8% (US\$M) NPV @ 10% (US\$M) IRR (%)	3.0 Mtpa Base Case 5,271 407 1,645 7 450 3.0 Mtpa Base Case 2,194 308 733 558 24.0%	6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 9,640 744 2,890 10 727 6.0 Mtpa Alt. Case 4,208 606 1,497 1,171 28.4%

HALLECK CREEK JORC TABLE 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data				
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)				
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
		In 2024, WRI drilled 28 drill holes at the Cowboy State Mine area. This included 11 HQ-sized core holes (1,586 m total) and 17 reverse circulation (RC) holes (1,866 m total). RC chip samples were collected at 1.5 m intervals via rotary splitter, while core samples were collected every 3 m of at lithological contacts.		
Sampling techniques	Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as downhole gamma sondes, handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.	ARR drilled 15 reverse circulation (RC) holes and eight HQ-sized diamond core holes between September and October 2023. All RC holes were 102 meters (334.65 feet) deep, with seven core holes at 80 meters (262.47 feet) and one deep core hole at 302 m (990.81 feet). RC chip samples were collected at a 1.5-meter (4.92 ft) continuous interval via rotary splitter. Rock core was divided into sample lengths of 1.5 m (4.92 feet) long and at key lithological breaks.		
		ARR drilled 38 reverse circulation (RC) holes across the Halleck Creek Resource Claim area between October and December 2022. All holes were approximately 150 meters (492.13 feet) deep, with the		

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data			
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
		exception of HC22-RM015 which went to a depth of 175.5 meters (576 feet). Chip samples were collected at 1.5-meter continuous intervals via rotary splitter.	
		In March and April 2022, ARR drilled nine HQ-sized core holes across the Halleck Creek Resource claim area. All holes were approximately 350 ft with the exception of one hole which was terminated at 194 ft. Total drilled length of 3,008 ft (917 m). Rock core was divided into sample lengths of 5 ft (1.52 m) long and at key lithological breaks.	
		A total of 734 surface rock samples exist in the Halleck Creek database. Surface rock samples collected by ARR are logged, photographed and located using handheld GPS units.	
		As part of reverse circulation (RC) and diamond core exploration drilling at Halleck Creek, ARR collected XRF readings on RC chip and core samples. Elements included in XRF measurements include Lanthanum, Cerium, Neodymium, and Praseodymium. ARR collected three XRF readings on each sample, then averaged the readings.	

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data			
(Criteria in this sectio	n apply to all succeeding sections.)		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
		Readings are performed at 20-meter intervals down each drill hole. These values are qualitative in nature and provide only rough indications of grade.	
	Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.	Core and RC samples were processed and logged systematically. Quality control included inserting certified reference materials (CRMs), blanks, and duplicates into the sampling stream.	
	Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.	The Red Mountain Pluton (RMP) of the Halleck Creek Rare Earths Project is a distinctly layered monzonitic to syenitic body which exhibits significant and widespread REE enrichment. Enrichment is dependent on allanite abundance, a sorosilicate of the epidote group. Allanite occurs in all three units of the RMP, the clinopyroxene quartz monzonite, the biotite-hornblende quartz syenite, and the fayalite monzonite, in variable abundances.	
	In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done, this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	Reverse circulation rock chip samples were collected at 1.5-meter continuous intervals via rotary splitter. For each interval chip samples were placed in labelled sample bags weighing between 1-2kg. A 0.5- 1kg sample was collected for reserve analysis and logging. Chip samples were also placed into chip trays with 20 slots for logging and XRF analysis.	

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data				
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)				
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
		Rock core samples 5 ft (1.52 m) long are fillet cut. The fillet cuts are being pulverised and sampled for 60 elements including rare earth elements using ICP-MS and industry standards. A select number of samples are additionally being assayed for whole rock geochemistry.		
		RC chip samples were sent to ALS labs in Twin Falls, ID for preparation and forwarded on to ALS labs in Vancouver, BC for ICP- MS analysis. ALS analysis: ME-MS81. Core samples were first sent to ALS in Reno, NV, for cutting and preparation, and also sent to Vancouver, BC for the same suite of testwork.		
		ALS Laboratories in BC, Canada has performed detailed assay analysis for the project since the fall of 2022. American Assay Labs in Sparks, NV is performed the analyses for the Spring 2022 program.		

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data			
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
Drilling techniques	Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or another type, whether the core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).	Drilling included HQ diamond drilling for core samples using a Marcotte HTM 2500 rig and rotary split RC drilling with a Schramm T455-GT rig. Oriented core was collected where applicable to support structural analysis.	
Drill sample	Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.	A continuous rotary sample splitter was used to collect the RC samples at 1.5m intervals. All drill core was visually logged, measured, and photographed by ARR geologists. Drill core was collected in lengths (runs) of 1.5m (~5 ft). Recoveries were calculated for each core run.	
recovery	Measures are taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure the representative nature of the samples.	Reverse circulation rock chip samples were collected at 1.5-meter continuous intervals via rotary splitter. For each interval chip samples were placed in labelled sample bags weighing between 1-2kg. A 0.5- 1kg sample was collected for reserve analysis and logging. Chip samples were also placed into chip trays with 20 slots for logging and XRF analysis.	

Section 1 Samplin	g Techniques and Data		
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
		All core and associated samples were immediately placed in core boxes.	
		In 2024, acoustic televiewer surveys provided supplementary data on structural continuity. Natural gamma logs were also collected for each 2024 drill hole which correlate with TREO grades.	
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	Recoveries were very high in competent rock. No loss or gain of grade or grade bias related to recovery	
Logging	Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.	All RC samples were visually logged by ARR geologists from chip trays using 10x binocular microscopes. Samples at 25m intervals were photos and analysed using an Olympus Vanta handheld XRF analyser in triplicate. Lanthanum, Cerium, Neodymium, and Praseodymium were analysed via XRF.	
		All drill core was visually logged, measured, and photographed by ARR geologists. Drill core was collected in lengths (runs) of 1.5 meters (~5 ft). ARR geologists calculated recoveries for each core run. ARR geologists logged lithology, various types of alteration and	

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data			
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
		mineralisation, fractures, fracture conditions, and RQD. Alpha and beta fracture angles were determined from oriented core in 2024.	
	Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography.	RC samples and logging is quantitative in nature. Chip samples are stored in secure sample trays. Chip samples were photographed and 25m intervals.	
		Core logging is quantitative in nature. All core was photographed wet and dry.	
		All RC samples were visually logged by ARR geologists for each 1.5- meter continuous sample.	
	The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	All drill core was visually logged, measured, and photographed by ARR geologists. Drill core was collected in lengths (runs) of 5 feet (1.52m). ARR geologists calculated recoveries for each core run. ARR geologists logged lithology, various types of alteration and mineralisation, fractures, fracture conditions, and RQD.	

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data			
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
Sub-sampling If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.	RC chip samples were not cut. Drill core was fillet cut by ALS Laboratories with approximately 1/2 of the core used for assay. The remaining core material will be kept in reserve by ALS until sent for future metallurgical testwork.		
	If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry.	Samples varied between wet and dry. The course crystalline nature of the deposit minimizes adverse effects of wet samples. Samples were rotary split during drilling and sample collection. ALS labs dried wet samples using their DRY-21 drying process.	
	For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.	RC samples were taken from pulverize splits of up to 250 g to better than 85 % passing minus 75 microns. All core samples were dry. Sample preparation: 1kg samples split to 250g for pulverising to -75 microns. Sample analysis: 0.5g charge assayed by ICP-MS technique.	

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data					
(Criteria in this sectio	n apply to all succeeding sections.)				
Criteria	JORC Code explanation Commentary				
		Both sampling methods are considered appropriate for the type of material collected and are considered industry standard.			
	Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise the representivity of samples.	ARR submitted CRM sample blanks, CRM standard REE samples from CND Labs and duplicate samples for analysis. Each CRM blank, REE standard, and duplicate were rotated into both the RC and core sampling process every 20 samples.			
	Measures are taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including, for instance, results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.	RC samples were collected using a continuous feed rotary split sampler. Fillet cuts along the entire length of all core are representative of the in-situ material.			
	Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	Allanite is generally well distributed across the core and the sample sizes are representative of the fine grain size of the Allanite.			
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.	ALS uses a 5-acid digestion and 32 elements by lithium borate fusion and ICP-MS (ME-MS81). For quantitative results of all elements, including those encapsulated in resistive minerals. These assays include all rare earth elements.			

Section 1 Sampling	Techniques and Data			
(Criteria in this sectio	n apply to all succeeding sections.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
		AAL Labs uses 5-acid digestion and 48 element analysis including REE reported in ppm using method REE-5AO48 and whole-rock geochemical XRF analysis using method X-LIB15.		
		Samples at 25m intervals were photographed and analysed using an Olympus Vanta handheld XRF analyser in triplicate. Lanthanum, Cerium, Neodymium, and Praseodymium were analysed. Simple average values of three XRF readings were calculated.		
	For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.	Seven of the core holes received ATV/OTV logging as well as slim hole induction which recorded natural gamma and conductivity/resistivity. Geophysical logging was completed by Century Geophysical located in Gillette, WY in 2023. DGI Geosciences, Salt Lake City, UT, performed logging in 2024. All tools were properly calibrated prior to logging.		
	Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.	For the 2024 drilling program, ARR submitted CRM sample blanks, CRM standard REE samples from CDN Labs, and duplicate samples for analysis. QA/QC samples, including CRM and blank samples, were inserted alternately at every 20th sample for both RC and core		

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data						
(Criteria in this sectio	(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)					
Criteria	JORC Code explanation Commentary					
		drilling. ALS Laboratories also incorporated their own QA/QC procedures to ensure analytical reliability.				
		For the RC drilling, ARR submitted CRM sample blanks, CRM standard REE samples from CND Labs and duplicate samples for analysis. CRM and Blank samples were inserted alternately at 20 sample intervals. The same was done for the core drilling completed Fall 2023. ALS Laboratories additionally incorporated their own Qa/Qc procedure.				
		For core drilling completed Spring 2022, ARR submitted CRM sample blanks, CRM standard REE samples from CND Labs and duplicate samples for analysis. Blank samples were added one for every 10 core samples, REE samples were added one for every 25 core samples, and Duplicate samples were added one per every 25 core samples. Internal laboratory blanks and standards will additionally be inserted during analysis.				
	The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.	RC chip samples have not yet been verified by independent personnel.				

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data						
(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
		Consulting company personnel have observed the assayed core samples. Company personnel sampled the entire length of each hole.				
Verification of sampling and assaying	The use of twinned holes.	No twinned holes were used.				
		Data entry was performed by ARR personnel and checked by ARR geologists. All field logs were scanned and uploaded to company file servers. All photographs of the core were also uploaded to the file server daily. Drilling data will be imported into the DHDB drill hole database. All scanned documents are cross-referenced and directly available from the database.				
	Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.	Assay data from the RC samples was imported into the database directly from electronic spreadsheets sent to ARR from ALS.				
		Core assay data was received electronically from AAL labs. These raw data as elements reported ppm were imported into the database with no adjustments.				

Section 1 Sampling	Techniques and Data	
(Criteria in this sectio	n apply to all succeeding sections.)	
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	Assay data is stored in the database in elemental form. Reporting of oxide values are calculated in the database using the molar mass of the element and the oxide.
Location of data points	Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.	All drill hole collars were surveyed by a registered professional land surveyor. Deviation surveys were conducted post-drilling to confirm subsurface data accuracy.
	Specification of the grid system used.	The grid system used to compile data was NAD83 Zone 13N.
	Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	Topography control is +/- 10 ft (3 m).
Data spacing and distribution	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.	Drill spacing varied between 100 and 300 m, with infill drilling conducted to refine the resource model and improve classification confidence.
	Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.	Spacing supports classification into Indicated and Inferred categories based on geostatistical analysis and grade continuity confirmed through cross-sections and swath plots.

Section 1	1	Sampling	Tec	hni	iques	and	Data
-----------	---	----------	-----	-----	-------	-----	------

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	Sample compositing was applied during resource estimation. Grade intervals were composited to 1.5 m (5 feet), the dominant sampling interval, ensuring compatibility with the data collected and supporting accurate resource estimation.				
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.	Mineralization at Halleck Creek is a function of fractional crystallization of allanite in syenitic rocks of the Red Mountain Pluton. Mineralization is not structurally controlled and exploration drilling to date does not reveal any preferential mineralization related to geologic structures. Therefore, orientation of drilling does not bias sampling.				
	If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	Orientation of drilling does not bias sampling.				
Sample security	The measures are taken to ensure sample security.	All RC chip samples were collected from the drill rigs and stored in a secured, locked facility. Sample pallets were shipped weekly, by bonded carrier, directly to ALS labs in Twin Falls, ID. Chains of custody were maintained at all times.				

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data						
(Criteria in this sectio	(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)					
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
		All core was collected from the drill rig daily and stored in a secure, locked facility until the core was dispatched by bonded courier to ALS Laboratories. Chains of custody were maintained at all times. All rock samples were in the direct control of company geologists until dispatched to American Assay Labs.				
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	No external audits or reviews have been conducted to date. However, sampling techniques are consistent with industry standards.				

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results					
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)					
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary			
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership, including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.	ARR controls 364 unpatented federal lode claims and 4 Wyoming State mineral licenses covering 3,280 ha (8,108 acres).			
	The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting and any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	No impediments to holding the claims exist. To maintain the claims an annual holding fee of \$165/claim is payable to the BLM. To maintain the State leases minimum rental payments of \$1/acre for 1-5 years; \$2/acre for 6-10 years; and \$3/acre if held for 10 years or longer.			
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	Prior to sampling by WIM on behalf of Blackfire Minerals and Zenith there was no previous sampling by any other groups within the ARR claim and Wyoming State Lease blocks.			
Geology	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	The REE's occur within Allanite which occurs as a variable constituent of the Red Mountain Pluton. The occurrence can be characterised as a disseminated rare earth deposit.			
Drill hole Information	A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:	For the 2023 and 2024 exploration programs, FTE DRILLING USA INC. of Mount Uniacke, Nova Scotia used a Schraam T-450 track mounted rig to drill 15 reverse circulation drill holes. Drill hole			

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results							
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)							
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary					
		depths for 37 holes was 102 m. FTE also utilized an enclosed Versa- Drilling diamond core rig to drill eight HQ-sized core holes.					
		For the Fall 2022 program, FTE DRILLING USA INC. of Mount Uniacke, Nova Scotia used a Schraam T-450 track mounted rig to drill 37 reverse circulation drill holes. Drill hole depths for 37 holes was 150m and one hole at 175.5m					
		Authentic Drilling from Kiowa, Colorado used both a track mounted and ATV mounted core rig to drill nine HQ diameter core holes. From March to April 2022, ARR drilled nine core holes across the Halleck Creek claim area. Drill holes ranged in depth from 194 to 352.5 ft with a total drilled length of 3,008 ft (917 m).					
	easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level	Drilling information from the 2024 exploration program was published in the report "Technical Report of Exploration and					
	in metres) of the drill hole collar	Rare Earths Project", December 2024.					

Section	2	Reporting	of	Exploration	Results
---------	---	-----------	----	-------------	---------

(Criteria listed in the	preceding	section a	also a	pply to	this s	section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
	dip and azimuth of the hole downhole length and interception depth	Drilling information from the Fall 2023 campaign was published in the report "Summary of 2023 Infill Drilling at the Halleck Creek Project Area", November 2023		
	Hole length.	Drilling information from the Fall 2022 drilling campaign is presented in detail in the "Technical Report of Exploration and Maiden Resource Estimates of the Halleck Creek Rare Earths Project", March 2023.		
	If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	No Drilling data has been excluded.		
Data aggregation	In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.	Average Grade values were cut at minimum of TREO 1,000 ppm.		
methods	Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.	Assays are representative of each 1.50 m, (~5 ft) sample interval.		

Section 2 Reportin	g of Exploration Results					
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
	The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.	No metal equivalents used.				
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is unknown and only the downhole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').	Allanite mineralization observed at Halleck Creek occurs uniformly throughout the CQM and BHS rocks of within the Red Mountain Pluton. Therefore, the geometry of mineralisation does not vary with drill hole orientation or angle within homogeneous rock types.				
Diagrams	Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to, a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.	Location information is presented in detail in the "Technical Report of Exploration and Updated Resource Estimates at Red Mountain of the Halleck Creek Rare Earths Project", December 2024.				
Balanced reporting	Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practised to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.	Reporting of the most recent exploration data is included in the "Technical Report of Exploration and Updated Resource Estimates at Red Mountain of the Halleck Creek Rare Earths Project", December 2024.				

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results						
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
		Previous data is presented in the "Technical Report of Exploration and Maiden Resource Estimates of the Halleck Creek Rare Earths Project", March 2023, and in report "Summary of 2023 Infill Drilling at the Halleck Creek Project Area", November 2023.				
		In hand specimen this rock is a red colored, hard and dense granite with areas of localized fracturing. The rock shows significant iron staining and deep weathering.				
Other substantive exploration data	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported, including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	Microscopic description: In hand specimen the samples represent light colored, fairly coarse-grained granitic rock composed of visible secondary iron oxide, amphibole, opaques, clear quartz and pink to white colored feldspar. All of the specimens show moderate to strong weathering and fracturing. Allanite content is variable from trace to 2%. Rare Earths are found within the Allanite.				
		Historical metallurgical testing consisted of concentrating the Allanite by both gravity and magnetic separation. The current program employs sequential high gradient magnetic separation and				

Section 2 Repor	ting of Exploration Results						
(Criteria listed in	(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary					
		flotation to produce a concentrate suitable for downstream rare earth elements extraction.					
Further work	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).	Detailed geological mapping and channel sampling is planned to enhance further development drilling to increase confidence levels of resources.					
	Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	Geological mapping and channel sampling is planned for the Bluegrass and County Line project areas to potentially expand mineral resources beyond the Cowboy State Mine area.					

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources						
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation Commentary					
Database integrity	Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection	Drill hole data header, lithologic data checked by field geologists and by visual examination on maps and drill hole striplogs. Assay and Qa/Qc data were imported into the database directly from electronic spreadsheets provide by laboratories. Histograms graphical logs were also prepared and reviewed by ARR geologists.				

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources							
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)							
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary					
	and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used.						
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person	Mr. Dwight Kinnes visited the Halleck Creek site numerous times in 2024 and 2025. Mr. Patrick Sobecke and Mr. Erick Kennedy of Stantec visited the on February 10, 2053					
	and the outcome of those visits. If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	Mr. Alf Gillman of Odessa Resources and Mr. Kelton Smith of Tetra Tech visited the site on March 7, 2024.					
Geological interpretation	Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.	The Halleck Creek RE deposit is contained with rocks of the Red Mountain Pluton. These rocks consist primarily of clinopyroxene quartz monzonite (CQM), and biotite hornblende syenite (BHS). These two lithologies are difficult to visually distinguish. However, the concentration of rare earth elements is observable between lithologies. Rocks of the Elmers Rock Greenstone Belt (ERGB) and the Sybille (Syb) intrusion are easily distinguishable from rocks of the RMP. These rock units are essentially barren of rare earth elements. Therefore, the confidence in discerning rocks of the RMP from is high. The extent of the RMP relative to other units was outlined into modelling domains used for resource estimates. The distribution of allanite throughout CQM and BHS rocks of the RMP is generally uniform and is not structurally controlled. Potassic alternation observed does not appear to affect the grade of allanite					
	The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	throughout the deposit.					

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources						
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	The Halleck Creek REE project currently contains two primary resource areas: the Red Mountain area and the Overton Mountain area. Resources also extend into the Bluegrass resource area. The Cowboy State Mine area is a subset of Red Mountain cover land minerals owned by the state of Wyoming, and under lease by WRI. The Red Mountain resource area is bounded to the west by the ERGB, and to the south by the Syb. Archean granites bound the Red Mountain area to the east. RC samples with TREO grades exceeding 1,500 ppm occurred at the base of 37 drill holes in the Red Mountain resource area extending down to depths of 150m with one hole extending to a depth of 175.5m. Therefore, ARR considers the Red Mountain resource area to be open at depth. The Overton Mountain resource area is bounded to the west by mineral claims, and therefore, remains open to the west. Lower grade BHS rocks occur at the northern end of Overton Mountain. Drilling data to the east and south indicate that the Overton Mountain resource area remains open across Bluegrass Creek. Like the Red Mountain drilling, RC samples at Overton Mountain contained TREO assay values exceeding 3,500 ppm to depths of 150m in 18 holes. One, 302m diamond core hole additionally exhibited grades exceeding 2,000 ppm to the bottom of the hole. Therefore, ARR considers the Overton Mountain resource area to be open at depth.				
Estimation and modelling techniques	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer	A revised three-dimensional geological model was developed Odessa Resources Pty. Ltd., from Perth Australia, using both drillhole information and surface mapping to isolate the higher-grade RMP domain from the surrounding lithologies. The domains that are modelled comprise the primary geological units as interpreted by ARR geologists. These geological domains consist of:				

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources							
(Criteria listed in	(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary					
	 assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. 	 QAL Quaternary alluvium RMP Red Mountain Pluton comprising mostly clinopyroxene quartz monzonite (CQM) RMP1 comprising mostly biotite-hornblende quartz syenite and fayalite monzonite ERGB unmineralized Elmers Rock Greenstone Belt SYB low grade monzonite Sybille intrusions LAC Laramie Anorthosite Complex Geochemical surface sample results were incorporated into the model but only to define the outer limits of the resource block domains. The Figures below show the general arrangement of the geological domains. 					

riteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Any assumptions about correlation between variables. Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.	Ceclogy Model
	Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. The process of validation, the checking process used, the	
	comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	A cross section 1 - EXERT N dataget A cross section 1 - EXERT N dataget B cross section 1 - EXERT N dataget B cross section 1 - EXERT N dataget - EXERT N dat



Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources								
(Criteria listed i	(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)							
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	Commentary					
		Block Model Pa	arameters					
			Block Model Parameter	Value				
			Parent Block Size	20m				
			Sub-block count (i, j, k)	4, 4, 4				
			Minimum block size (i, j, k)	5m ,5m, 2.5m				
			Base point (x, y, z)	473900.00, 4631300.00, 2000.00				
			Boundary size (W x L x H)	2060.00, 2040.00, 510.00				
			Azimuth	0				
			Dip	0				
			Pitch	0				
			Size in Blocks	103x102x51=535,806				
		The block mode domain, and nu	el contains attributes pertaining to reso merical attributes for TREO, rare earth	urce block, resource category, grade oxides of all rare earth elements.	class, geologic			

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources											
(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)											
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary									
		Geological domains focused on higher grade RMP and RMP1 lithologies which provided control of resource block boundaries along with variography.									
		General		Directio	n			Structure 1			
		Variogram Name	Dip	Dip Azimuth	Pitch	Normalized Nugget	Normalized sill	Structure	Major	Semi- major	Minor
		OM	0	0	124	0	0.6	Spherical	280	230	200
		RM	0	0	90	0.1	0.8	Spherical	445	240	170
			<u> </u>			L		L]

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources						
(Criteria listed in t	(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)					
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				



Section 3 Estim	Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources							
(Criteria listed in	the preceding section also apply to this	section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary						
		The additional estimators:						
		ID2 TREO RMP: Inverse Distance Squared (ID2) using horizontal plane (150x150x120m search)						
	ID2 TREO RMP: isotropic Inverse Distance Squared (ID2) using an iso-tropic 150m search ellip							
		ID2 TREO RMP: with variogram Inverse Distance Squared (ID2) using the same estimation and variogram parameters as the kriged model (445x240x170m search)						
		Nearest Neighbour, RMP: nearest neighbour estimate (150x150x120m search)						
		These validation runs, together with the kriged estimator, were compared against the raw composite data in east-west (X) and north-south (Y) swath plots across the Red Mountain area (see below).						
		The data indicate that the kriged estimator has done a reasonable job in estimating a global resource grade with no systematic bias towards overestimating the grades. The smoothing effects of the kriging interpolant is consistent with both the inherent nature of the kriging process and the large search ellipses used.						



Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources						
(Criteria listed in t	the preceding section also apply to this	section.)				
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
Moisture	Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	Tonnages are based on in-situ, dry basis.				
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	A cut-off grade of 1,000 ppm TREO was applied to reported resource estimates based on preliminary net smelter calculations performed by Stantec.				
Mining factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an	Surface mining was chosen as the method to extract the resource due to mineralization outcropping on surface and the homogeneity of the mineral grade over a large extent. In the absence of geotechnical data Stantec used reasonable bench angles, catch bench widths based on industry experience. Mining and metallurgical costs were from Stantec and Tetratech's respective cost databases for a mine and mill of this size and scale. Process recoveries were based on preliminary test work on samples of the mineralization. Mine design work was based on Geovia's Whittle mine software package, using a block model supplied by ARR and reviewed by Stantec for adequacy at a scoping level of study. The following mine design parameters were used in the pit design: Height between catch benches 6 m Bench Face Angle 70° Berm Width 2.9 m Total Road Allowance 18.5 m				

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources												
(Criteria listed i	n the preceding section also apply to this	section.)										
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	Commentary									
	explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	Maximum Ramp Grade 10% Minimum Operating Width 30 m										
		Parameter	Unit			Red	Mountain	& Overton	Mountain			
		Revenue, Smelting & Refir	ning	La	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	
		Price	USD	\$2.00	\$91.00	\$91.00	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$1,500.00	\$400.00	
		Recovery	%	68.63%	63.86%	63.86%	70.11%	70.11%	70.11%	70.22%	66.49%	
		Refining Price Factor	%	0%								
		Treatment Charges	USD	\$0.00								
		Refining Costs	USD	\$0.00 \$0.00								
		Shipping Costs	USD									
		Transportation Concentrate Losses	%	0%								
		Recovery and Dilution										
		External Mining Dilution	%	0%								
		Mining Recovery	%	100%								
					Ge	otechnical						
		Slope ISA	deg					50				
				1		OPEX						
		Milling Cost	USD				9	\$26.43				
		Surface Mining Cost	USD					\$3.95				
		Site G&A	USD					\$0.00				
		Total OPEX Cost	USD				5	\$29.28				

Section 3 Estima	Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources							
(Criteria listed in t	the preceding section also apply to this	section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary						
		No mining dilution was used in the mine design of this study and a mining recovery of 100 % was assumed. Based on the chosen mining equipment, a minimum mining width of 30 meters was utilized. Measured, indicated and inferred mineral resources were included in the mine design, which is appropriate at a scoping level of study. Due to the homogeneity of the mineralization, while it is not reasonable to state that all inferred resources will be converted to a more precise mineral resource category, in general it is felt that the it is reasonable to assume that the majority of the inferred resource will be converted to indicated or measured with additional sampling due to the size and homogeneity of the mineralized zone. Supporting mine infrastructure is discussed in the appropriate section of this report.						
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.	Preliminary metallurgical testwork shows that use of dense media separation and WHIMS can potentially reject up to 93% of waste and upgrade grade by about 11 times. Additional testwork is being planned to test these processes on larger volumes of core. Direct sulphuric acid leaching shows that more than 90% of REE can be extracted from allanite. Additional testwork is being planned to test these processes on larger volumes of core. Based on testwork to date, metallurgical recovery factors for the study as thus: La Recovered (kg) 68.6% NdPr Recovered (kg) 63.9% SEG Recovered (kg) 70.1% Tb Recovered (kg) 70.2% Dy Recovered (kg) 66.5%						

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources							
(Criteria listed in t	the preceding section also apply to this	section.)					
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary					
Environmental factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	ARR acquired exploration drilling notices from the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ), Land Quality Division, for all drilling activities performed to date. ARR is developing a permitting needs assessment with local environmental consulting groups to present to each division at WDEQ to identify comprehensive environmental baseline studies needed to permit a mining operation at Halleck Creek. ARR is identifying additional regulatory stakeholders in Wyoming as part of the needs assessment. Factors for mine closure have been included in mining costs and financial modeling. At this stage of development, no mine closure plans have been developed. At this stage in project development, no social impact studies have been completed.					
Bulk density	Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the	An average specific gravity of 2.70 represents the in-place ore material at Halleck Creek based on hydrostatic testing. Bulk density testing will be included during bulk sample collection currently being designed and permitted.					

Section 3 Estim	ation and Reporting of Mineral Resou	rces
(Criteria listed ir	the preceding section also apply to this	section.)
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	 nature, size and representativeness of the samples. The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation 	
	process of the different materials.	The classification at Halleck Creek is based on the following key attributes:
Classification	The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).	 Geological continuity between drill holes Mineralization is controlled by batholith-scale fractionation. Hence, both empirical observations and statistical analysis confirm a very high degree of continuity with the respective rock masses at Overton Mountain and Red Mountain. This is supported by variography. Drill spacing and drill density The drill pattern is mostly irregular with drill spacing of approximately 200m. At Overton Mountain an area has been infilled on a systematic grid spacing of approximately 90m. This spacing is considered to be adequate to support a measured classification.

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources										
(Criteria listed in t	he preceding section also apply to this	section.)								
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary								
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	• Drill hole spacing at Red Mountain is considered to be adequate to support indicated resources. The CP considers the above classification strategy and methodology to be appropriate and reasonable for this style of mineralisation.								
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	There have not been any audits of mineral resource estimates.								
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.	Reported resources for Halleck Creek are in-place global estimates of tonnage and rare earth grade. The basis of classification of mineral resources was based on geostatistical analysis of variograms of rare earth elements. The resource is classified as either measured, indicated or inferred. Subject to the application of 'modifying factors' the measured plus indicated component of the resource may allow for a formal evaluation of its economics with the potential to be converted to a Probable Ore Reserve. Therefore, a high degree of conservatism has been adopted as the underlying premise of the resource classification and, in particular, the indicated component.								

Section 3 Estir	Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources					
(Criteria listed i	Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)					
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary				
	The statement should specify whetherit relates to global or local estimates,and, if local, state the relevanttonnages, which should be relevant totechnical and economic evaluation.Documentation should includeassumptions made and theprocedures used.These statements of relative accuracyand confidence of the estimate shouldbe compared with production data,where available.					

SECTION 4 ESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF ORE RESERVES – ORE RESERVES ARE NOT BEING REPORTED

Section 4 Estim	ation and Reporting of Ore Reserves								
(Criteria listed i	(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)								
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary							
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve. Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	No mineral resources have been converted to Ore reserves							
Site visits	Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	Mr. Patrick Sobecke and Mr. Erick Kennedy of Stantec visited the on February 10, 2053 with geologist Ms. Sara Stotter from ARR. The visit included an inspection of the land at both Red Mountain and Overton Mountain and the project geology. The site visit included ARR facilities in Laramie, Wyoming. Mr Kelton Smith of Tetra Tech and Mr. Alf Gillman of Odessa Resources, completed a site visit on March 7, 2024 with Mr. Dwight Kinnes.							
Study status	The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves.	American Rare Earths Pty. Ltd. (ARR) has engaged Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (Stantec) to conduct a scoping study under the Australian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (JORC Code or JORC) standards for the Halleck Creek Rare Earth Deposit (HCRE-D. As such, mineral resources are reported in this study and not ore reserves, as is stated for a scoping study in the JORC code.							

Section 4 Estim	ation and Reporting of Ore Reserves	
(Criteria listed i	n section 1, and where relevant in sec	tions 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.	
Cut-off parameters	The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	The break-even cut-off grade was calculated using mining costs (\$3.95/ore tonne) determined by Stantec and milling costs (\$26.43/ore tonnes) supplied by Tetratech (ARR's metallurgical consultant) and are appropriate for a mine of this size and scale. General and Administration costs are included in both costs listed above.
Mining factors or assumptions	The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design). The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected	Surface mining was chosen as the method to extract the resource due to mineralization outcropping on surface and the homogeneity of the mineral grade over a large extent. In the absence of geotechnical data Stantec used reasonable bench angles, catch bench widths based on industry experience. Mining and metallurgical costs were from Stantec and Tetratech's respective cost databases for a mine and mill of this size and scale. Process recoveries were based on preliminary test work on samples of the mineralization. Mine design work was based on Geovia's Whittle mine software package, using a block model supplied by ARR and reviewed by Stantec for adequacy at a scoping level of study. The following mine design parameters were used in the pit design:

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves								
(Criteria listed	in section 1, and where relevant in sect	tions 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)						
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary						
	mining method(s) and other mining	Height between catch benches 6 m						
	parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip.	Bench Face Angle 70°						
	access, etc.	Berm Width 2.9 m						
	The assumptions made regarding	Total Road Allowance 18.5 m						
	geotechnical parameters (eg pit	Maximum Ramp Grade 10%						
	control and pre-production drilling.	Minimum Operating Width 30 m						
	The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate).							
	The mining dilution factors used.							
	The mining recovery factors used.							
	Any minimum mining widths used.							
	The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.							

Section 4 Estimation	ation and Reporting of Ore Reserves											
(Criteria listed in	n section 1, and where relevant in sect	<u>tions 2 and 3, also apply to</u>	o this s	ection.)								
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary										
		Parameter	Unit			Red	Mountain a	& Overton	Mountain			
		Revenue, Smelting & Refin	ning	La	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	
		Price	USD	\$2.00	\$91.00	\$91.00	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$10.00	\$1,500.00	\$400.00	
		Recovery	%	68.63%	63.86%	63.86%	70.11%	70.11%	70.11%	70.22%	66.49%	
		Refining Price Factor	%					0%				
		Treatment Charges	USD				:	\$0.00				
		Refining Costs	USD				:	\$0.00				
		Shipping Costs	USD				:	\$0.00				
		Transportation Concentrate Losses	%					0%				
					Recove	ry and Dilu	tion					
		External Mining Dilution	%					0%				
		Mining Recovery	%					100%				
					Ge	otechnical						
		Slope ISA	deg					50				
						OPEX						
		Milling Cost	USD				\$	26.43				
		Surface Mining Cost	USD				:	\$3.95				
		Site G&A	USD				:	\$0.00				
		Total OPEX Cost	USD				\$	29.28				
		No mining dilution was u	ised in	the min	e design	of this s	tudy and	d a minir	ng recov	ery of 100 °	% was ass	umed. Based
		on the chosen mining ec	quipme	ent, a m	inimum	mining v	width of	30 mete	ers was u	utilized. Me	easured, i	ndicated and
		inferred mineral resource	es were	e include	ed in the	mine de	sign, wh	ich is ap	propriat	e at a scop	ing level	of study. Due
		to the homogeneity of t	he mi	neralizat	ion, whi	le it is n	ot reasc	nable to	o state t	hat all infe	rred resc	ources will be
		converted to a more pred	cise mi	neral re	source c	ategory,	in genei	ral it is fe	elt that th	ne it is reas	onable to	assume that

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves				
(Criteria listed i	(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
		the majority of the inferred resource will be converted to indicated or measured with additional sampling due to the size and homogeneity of the mineralized zone. Supporting mine infrastructure is discussed in the appropriate section of this report.		
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are	Based on testwork to date, metallurgical recovery factors for the study as thus: La Recovered (kg) 68.6% NdPr Recovered (kg) 63.9% SEG Recovered (kg) 70.1% Tb Recovered (kg) 70.2% Dy Recovered (kg) 66.5%		

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves			
(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
	considered representative of the orebody as a whole.		
	For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?		
Environmen- tal	The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	ARR acquired exploration drilling notices from the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality (WDEQ), Land Quality Division, for all drilling activities performed to date. ARR is developing a permitting needs assessment with local environmental consulting groups to present to each division at WDEQ to identify comprehensive environmental baseline studies needed to permit a mining operation at Halleck Creek. ARR is identifying additional regulatory stakeholders in Wyoming as part of the needs assessment. Factors for mine closure have been included in mining costs and financial modeling. At this stage of development, no mine closure plans have been developed. At this stage in project development, no social impact studies have been completed.	
Infrastructure	The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour,	Processing facilities will be split between the mine site and a second site near Wheatland, Wyoming. A concentrate will be produced at the mine site and trucked by highway to the second and final processing facility where saleable metals will be produced. Infrastructure consisting of roads, water supply, electrical power, natural gas and buildings to support operations at both sites is included in the economics of the project. Mining, oil and gas	

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves				
(Criteria listed	(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
	accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	operations are common in Wyoming and is reasonable to expect a well trained work force will be able to be attracted to the operation during start up and life of mine operations.		
Costs	The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study.	Site capital costs buildings were determined from the Mine Cost Handbook (2021) and escalated based on inflation factors to 2023 costs. Costs to erect access roads and construct the water supply system were based on construction and drilling costs from recent similar projects Stantec has worked on.		
	The methodology used to estimate operating costs. Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements.	Stantec relied on price expectations provided by ARR, which were based on price forecasts from multiple firms. No exchange rates were used in this study, as all costs are in US dollars.		
	The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal minerals and co- products.			
	The source of exchange rates used in the study.			
	Derivation of transportation charges.			
	The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges,			

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves			
(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
	penalties for failure to meet specification, etc.		
	The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.		
Revenue factors	The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. he derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.		
Market assessment	The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future.	Rare earth price assumptions used in the base case scenario are derived from ARR's assessment of price expectations over the next couple of years. ARR's assessment is based on an average of spot and price forecasts from Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, JPM Chase, and Canaccord Genuity. The resultant price is lower than the average price over the past two years. All prices are FOBfob. Pricing data from various sources can be found in Appendix BX and are summarized in the table below.	

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves					
<u>(Criteria listed</u>	(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)				
Citteria		Commentary			
	A customer and competitor analysis		Product	Price (\$/kg)	
	along with the identification of likely market windows for the		NdPrO	\$90.61	
	product.		Dysprosium	\$400	
	Price and volume forecasts and the		Terbium	\$1,500	
	basis for these forecasts.		SEG	\$10	
	For industrial minerals the customer specification.		Lanthanum	\$2	
acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.					
Economic	The inputs to the economic analysisto produce the net present value(NPV) in the study, the source andconfidence of these economic inputsincludingestimatedinflation,discount rate, etc.NPVrangesandsensitivityvariationsinthesignificantassumptionsandinputs.	The evaluation of th The financial mode treatments, using th costs were escalated state tax and variou Sensitivity to the m Mining OPEX and P	e project assumes 100% own el was completed on yearly e Discounted Cash Flow meth d at a rate of 5% per annum fro s State royalty treatments wer najor cost drivers have been rocessing CAPEX.	ership. increments; NPV was detended of valuation using discontrom the date of their source re applied to the post tax ca modelled, including equive	ermined at both pre and post-tax unt rates of 8%, 10% and 12%. Some to 2023 costs. US Federal, Wyoming ase. alent NdPr price, Processing OPEX,
Social	The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	At this stage in proj	ect development, no social im	npact studies have been cor	npleted.

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves			
(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
Other	To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves:	No Ore Reserves are reported in this scoping study, in agreement with JORC standards.	
	Any identified material naturally occurring risks.		
	The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements.		
	The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre- Feasibility or Feasibility study.		
	Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third		

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves		
(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)		
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.	
Classification	The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories. Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	No Ore Reserves are reported in this scoping study, in agreement with JORC standards.
	The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).	
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.	Stantec performed a gap analysis of the resource model before starting any work and found the work adequate to support a scoping study.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to	No Ore Reserves are reported in this scoping study, in agreement with JORC standards.

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves				
(Criteria listed i	(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)			
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary		
	quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage.			

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves			
(Criteria listed i	n section 1, and where relevant in sec	tions 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)	
Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary	
	It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.		